Florida Assumption Public Hearing Oct 27 Summary

Attendees: 137
Registered speakers who spoke at the hearing:
Jose Javier Rodriguez
Michelle Diffenderfer
Kent Wimmer
Rachael Uhland
Jeffrey Blank
Anna Upton
Kurt Spitzer
Lisa Rinaman
Kaila Barreto
Alison Kelly
Beth Alvi
Chris Farrell
Marian Ryan
Tom Knuckey
Faith Bickner
Sandra Chiappetta
Maureen Long
Windy Wood
Annette Redwine
Elyse Brady
Jessica Dennis
Neil Armingeon
Cris Costello
Diana Umpierre

Registered speakers who did not speak at the hearing:

James Savage
Sharon Savage
Mike Conner
Michael Diamicis
Anton Krilloff
Andrew Tyler
Christian Wagley
Matthew Schwartz
Juanita Baker
Speakers not previously registered who were added during this hearing as time allowed:
Jane West
Amber Crooks
Drew Martin
Chris Pettit
Albert Gomez
Dr. Neil Schleifer
Jim Tatum
Chris Michaelessi
Brad Cornell
Wendy Jerkins
Georgia Ackerman
Holly Schwartz
Sarah Younger
Susan Caruso
Matthew Schwartz
Barbara Angelucci
Susan Hill

<u>:</u>

Summary of major comments:

- Concerns with FDEP having adequate resources (budget and person power) and expertise to run the program and not adding resources; COVID and other emergencies resulting in state looking to reduce all agency budgets by 8.5%
- Concerns that 404 program will pull resources from other struggling programs such as TMDL and NPDES
- Comments that package was incomplete because it did not contain BiOp and Incidental Take
 Statement or adequately explain how species would be protected
- Concerns with information provided on Retained Waters (low resolution maps, list too vague)
- Concerns with EPA's and Florida's lack of public participation, particularly with ESA consultation (noted the need to FOIA the BE) and with COVID issue
- Concern that FWC financial situation and workload is not part of EPA's consideration as well as SHPO, stating agencies have acknowledged they can't handle added responsibilities
- Concerns with lack of state safeguards that would replace federal programs such as NEPA,
 Magnuson-Stevens, ESA, NEPA and NHPA
- Concern that state has conflict of interest with a bias in favor of helping applicants to quickly obtain permits at the expense of the environment, at times allowing inadequate or missing info from applicants
- Concerns that state isn't as insulated from political pressure as the Corps
- Concerns with Program description being incomplete
- Concerns that ESA Programmatic evaluation is inadequate
- Concerns that FDEP program doesn't demonstrate ability to ensure compliance with 404(b)(1) guidelines in preventing jeopardy
- Reconsider "complete determination"
- General concern with FL govt handling large programs
- Must be postponed due to COVID-19 so public can fully participate
- Recommendation that a % of permits in FL's assumed program should be audited
- Concerns with the loss of federal oversight
- Concerns with inconsistencies between Applicant's Handbook vs FWC procedures in MOU
- MOU unsigned by USFWS
- Concerns with EPA policy change regarding ESA programmatic consultation is appropriate when covering the same/similar activities
- Concerns with EPA's public notice for the public hearing two weeks to sign up to speak
- Concerns with FL's public notice procedures
- Concerns that MOU lacks Habitat Conservation Planning (Section 10)

•	Concerns that virtual hearings are not full public hearings